

# R-mode frequencies of rapidly and differentially rotating relativistic neutron stars

Michael Jasiulek<sup>1,\*</sup> and Cecilia Chirenti<sup>1,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Centro de Matemática, Computação e Cognição, UFABC, 09210-170 Santo André-SP, Brazil*

R-modes of neutron stars could be a source of gravitational waves for ground based detectors. If the precise frequency  $\sigma$  is known, guided gravitational wave searches with enhanced detectability are possible. Because of its physical importance many authors have calculated the r-mode frequency. For the dominant mode, the associated gravitational wave frequency is  $4/3$  times the angular velocity of the star  $\Omega$ , subject to various corrections of which relativistic and rotational corrections are the most important. This has led several authors to investigate the dependence of the r-mode frequency on factors such as the relativistic compactness parameter ( $M/R$ ) and the angular velocity of stars with different equations of state. The results found so far, however, are almost independent of the equation of state. Here we investigate the effect of rapid rotation and differential rotation on  $\sigma$ . We evolve the perturbation equations using the Cowling approximation by applying finite differencing methods to compute the r-mode frequency for a series of rotating neutron stars with polytropic equations of state. We find that rotational effects in the r-mode frequency can be larger than relativistic effects for rapidly spinning stars with low compactness, reducing the observed frequency. Differential rotation also acts to decrease  $\sigma$ , but its effect increases with the compactness. The results presented here are relevant to the design of gravitational wave and electromagnetic r-mode searches.

PACS numbers: 04.40.Dg, 95.30.Sf

## I. INTRODUCTION

The gravitational-wave driven Chandrasekhar-Friedman-Schutz (CFS) instability [1, 2] associated with r-modes [3] in rotating neutron stars has attracted considerable attention in recent years. The r-mode gravitational waves could provide an explanation for the low spin-rates of young neutron stars as they provide a spindown mechanism that could explain why accreting pulsars in Low Mass X-ray Binaries (LMXB) do not spin up to their braking-frequency. Moreover, r-mode gravitational waves may be detectable by groundbased detectors in the near future [4], although there is still much discussion about the r-mode saturation amplitude, see for instance [5–8]. Despite the possibly low saturation amplitude, r-modes could even be observed through their electromagnetic signatures in burst oscillations of LMXB, see [9, 10].

Nearly 30 years of observations of binary pulsars and LMXB reveal rotation frequencies up to 716Hz [11]. For these pulsars, rotational effects on the r-mode frequency have to be considered.

It is also believed that accretion as well as r-mode oscillations could drive a star into differential rotation, while magnetic braking can damp this effect [12, 13]. Still, a small amount of differential rotation may be present in all LMXB pulsars and its effect on the r-mode frequency could be relevant. Differential rotation can also be an important ingredient when considering very young neutron stars or hypermassive neutron star remnants from binary mergers (see [14] for a recent study).

The effect of differential rotation on the frequencies of stellar modes of oscillation in the Cowling approximation was already investigated in a more preliminary way in [15, 16] (f and p modes) and [17] (f and r-modes). (Oscillations of fast and differentially rotating stars in the Cowling approximation were considered in [18], but their analysis was mostly focused on the f-mode instability.) We present here a first study that incorporates fast rotation and a (possibly) strong degree of differential rotation in the analysis of the r-mode frequencies.

We note here that only a few perturbative studies of rotating neutron stars have been performed without employing the Cowling approximation. Generic inertial modes were considered in [19], r-modes in slowly and uniformly rotating stars were studied in [20], and in [21] a general perturbative formalism using spectral methods was presented and applied to f-modes. We also present here a first quantitative assessment of the accuracy of the Cowling approximation for r-modes, comparing our results with those from [20].

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section II we present a parametrization for the relativistic and rotational corrections to the r-mode frequency, including corrections from the differential rotation. Our numerical results are detailed and analyzed in Section III, and we close with our final remarks in Section IV. Unless otherwise explicitly stated, we use units in which  $c = G = M_{\odot} = 1$ .

## II. CORRECTIONS TO THE R-MODE FREQUENCY

The observed gravitational wave r-mode angular frequency  $\sigma$  is related to the rotating frame r-mode fre-

\* e-mail: michael.jasiulek@ufabc.edu.br

† e-mail: cecilia.chirenti@ufabc.edu.br

quency  $\sigma_R$  by

$$\sigma = (\kappa - 2)\Omega = \sigma_R - 2\Omega, \quad \kappa \equiv \frac{\sigma_R}{\Omega}, \quad (1)$$

here for the dominant  $l = m = 2$  r-mode, where  $\Omega = 2\pi f$  is the angular velocity of the star and  $\kappa$  is the dimensionless r-mode frequency in the rotating frame [22]. For a slowly rotating Newtonian star  $\kappa = 2/3$ , independent of the equation of state (EoS) [3].

Relativistic effects are quantified by the dimensionless compactness  $M/R$  of the star. For slowly rotating polytropic stars over a range of astro-physically motivated compactnesses 0.11 – 0.21 the authors of [20] found

$$\kappa_{\text{slow rotation}} \equiv \kappa_0 = 0.616 + 0.352(M/R) - 3.47(M/R)^2, \quad (2)$$

corresponding to a correction from its Newtonian value of 8% – 20%. Note that  $\kappa_0$  is decreased and thus  $\sigma$  is increased with increasing compactness.

The effect of fast stellar rotation including the leading order correction to the r-mode frequency was considered by [23, 24] and can be expressed as

$$\kappa = \kappa_0 + \kappa_2 \frac{\Omega^2}{\pi G \bar{\rho}_0}, \quad (3)$$

where  $\bar{\rho}_0$  is the average density obtained numerically as (total mass)/(total volume), and  $\kappa_2$  is dimensionless and of order unity.

Since for rotating stars there is no unique radius to compute the compactness, we take here  $R$  to be the volumetric radius, i.e., the radius of the sphere that has the same volume as the star. For a typical compactness of 0.15 the correction is 9% – 17% for stars with typical radius from  $R = 10$  km to  $R = 14$  km.

In order to consider the influence of differential rotation in the r-mode frequencies, we use the so-called *j*-const. law derived by [25, 26], that has been widely used in the literature. (For more general rotation laws, see [27, 28].) In the Newtonian limit, the *j*-const. law gives the angular velocity  $\Omega$  of the star as

$$\Omega = \frac{\Omega_c}{1 + \hat{A}^{-2} \hat{r}^2 \sin^2 \theta}, \quad (4)$$

where  $\Omega_c$  is the central angular velocity and  $\hat{A} \equiv A/R_e$  and  $\hat{r} \equiv r/R_e$  are dimensionless quantities rescaled in terms of the equatorial radius  $R_e$ , following the notation in [29]. The parameter  $A$  controls the degree of differential rotation, but we will follow the literature and use the dimensionless parameter  $\hat{A}$ , such that stars with different radii but the same  $\hat{A}$  have the same amount of differential rotation.

In Sec. III below we analyze the variation of  $\kappa_2$  with the compactness  $M/R$  and we generalize Eq. (3) to include the differential rotation correction as an additional term,  $\kappa_3$ . As we increase the number of degrees of freedom in our stellar model (compactness, total angular momentum

and increasing differential rotation), the simplicity of the Newtonian formula for the r-mode frequency is somewhat lost. However, we gain finer and more detailed information on the frequencies, with non-negligible corrections that could change the value of  $\kappa$  by almost a factor 2.

### III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

#### A. Fast rotation

We evolve the perturbation equations using a finite-differencing code in 3+1 dimensions within the **Einstein Toolkit** [30]. We use an outer 6-patch spherical coordinate system with an inner Cartesian cube to avoid coordinate singularities. This coordinate setup is provided by the extension **Llama**, see [31] or Sec. 5.1 from [32] for code tests and more details. The background models in the finite-differencing code are represented using spectral methods and computed with the **Lorene Code** [33]. We use the same scaling function as Lorene to adapt the surface to a (round) sphere for rotating models. Both codes are available online and we also plan to make our code freely available.

We ran our code for several sequences of polytropic stars with fixed polytropic index  $N = 1$  and total radius  $R = 14.15$ , compactness varying from 0.11 – 0.21, and uniform rotation in the range between 100 Hz – 700 Hz. In our first code tests we compared results with values in [17, 34, 35], with very good agreement. We obtained  $\kappa$  as a function of both  $M/R$  and  $\Omega$ , see Fig. 1. In agreement with Equations (2) and (3), we can see that  $\kappa$  is increasing with increasing rotation and decreasing with increasing compactness of the star. Within our chosen range of parameters,  $\kappa$  increases with rotation by at least 30% for low compactness ( $M/R = 0.12$ ) and by less than 13% for high compactness ( $M/R = 0.22$ ).

In Fig. 2 we fitted our data to  $\kappa = a + b f^2$ , where  $a \equiv \kappa_0$  (for a given compactness) and  $b \equiv \kappa_2/(\pi G \bar{\rho}_0)$ , see Eq. (3). We can see that fit and data show very good agreement and that  $a$  and  $b$  (i.e.  $\kappa_0$  and  $\kappa_2$ ) are both decreasing with increasing compactness. This trend, which was already known for  $\kappa_0$ , is to be expected because both the gravitational redshift and the frame dragging will act to decrease the oscillation frequencies as measured by a comoving observer (see eq.(30) in [19]).

The quadratic fit for  $\kappa_0 = \kappa_0(M/R)$  should be compared with Eq. (2) and both curves are shown in Fig. 3. The difference between the two curves in Fig. 3 shows the accuracy of the Cowling approximation for r-modes, increasing with compactness and ranging from 6% – 11% for the models we have considered. To the best of our knowledge, a quantitative measurement of this error has not been presented in the literature so far, and confirms the usual intuition that the Cowling approximation should provide reasonably accurate results for r-modes (for a study on the accuracy of the Cowling approximation for f-modes, see [36]).

A similar quadratic fit for  $\kappa_2 = \kappa_2(M/R)$  can be seen in Fig. 4. Our results show that the dependence of  $\kappa_2$  with the compactness should not be neglected, since the difference between values for low and high compactness is almost 70%. We also note here that our values for  $\kappa_2$  agree well with the representative value 0.29 given in [20, 23].




FIG. 1.  $\kappa \times M/R$  for sequences of stars with different values of  $f$ . From top to bottom,  $f = 700, 600, 500, 400, 300, 100$  Hz. Note that  $\kappa$  decreases (and  $\sigma$  increases) for more compact stars, but  $\kappa$  increases (and  $\sigma$  decreases) for more rapidly rotating stars.




FIG. 2.  $\kappa \times f$  for sequences of stars with different values of  $M/R$ , which were obtained by interpolation from the data in Fig. 1 (dashed lines). From top to bottom,  $M/R = 0.12, 0.14, 0.16, 0.18, 0.20$ . The solid lines represent quadratic fits of the form  $\kappa = a + bf^2$ , where both  $a$  and  $b$  decrease with the compactness.

## B. Differential rotation

We now allow our stars to have differential rotation, as described by the j-const. law. As we have already




FIG. 3.  $\kappa_0 \times M/R$ . The dashed line represents Eq. (2) and the solid line is given by a quadratic fit of the parameter  $a$  in Fig. 2 that gives  $\kappa_0 = 0.64 - 0.35(M/R) - 2.0(M/R)^2$ . The difference between the two curves shows the accuracy of the Cowling approximation.




FIG. 4.  $\kappa_2 \times M/R$ . The solid lines shows a quadratic fit of  $\kappa_2$  obtained from the parameter  $b$  in Fig. 2 that gives  $\kappa_2 = 0.68 - 4.1(M/R) + 9.8(M/R)^2$ . The rotational correction  $\kappa_2$  is more significant for less compact stars.

discussed in Sec. II, the degree of differential rotation increases with increasing  $\hat{A}^{-1}$ . However, the situation is not so simple, as can be seen in Fig. 5 for a representative case: for a fixed differential rotation parameter, more compact stars will present a larger variation between the central and the surface angular velocity in the equatorial plane. This variation in  $\Omega$  can be understood as a more natural measure of the “physical” differential rotation of the star, and it ranges from 30% to 38% for the models presented in Fig. 5.

All models shown in Fig. 5 have the same total angular momentum as the uniformly rotating model with  $f = 600$  Hz. Moreover,  $\Omega(r, \theta)$  of the differentially rotating models have volume averages about the angular velocity  $\Omega$  of the uniformly rotating model (represented

by a horizontal line), as can be seen in Fig. 5.<sup>1</sup> Therefore we will use this  $\Omega$  to define  $\kappa$  in the differentially rotating case, in analogy with Eq. (1).

In Fig. 6 we show the variation of  $\kappa$  as a function of the compactness  $M/R$  for sequences of stars with different values of  $\hat{A}^{-1}$  and a representative fixed value of the total angular momentum. We can see that the effect of differential rotation acts to increase  $\kappa$ , and it is more pronounced for more compact stars, leading to a correction that ranges from 3% to 22% (this trend reflects the behavior shown in Fig. 5).

We define here the differential rotation correction  $\kappa_3$  to the value of  $\kappa$  in the simplest way possible by a generalization of Eq. (3):

$$\kappa = \kappa_0 + \kappa_2 \frac{\Omega^2}{\pi G \bar{\rho}_0} + \kappa_3, \quad (5)$$

and  $\kappa_3$  is shown as a function of  $\hat{A}^{-1}$  in Fig. 7 for the same data that was presented in Fig. 6. We found that  $\kappa_3$  is best described by a 2-parameter fit of the form

$$\kappa_3 = c\hat{A}^{-1} + d\hat{A}^{-3}, \quad (6)$$

where the parameters  $c$  and  $d$  will depend in general on the compactness and total angular momentum of the star (but more strongly on the compactness, see the discussion below and the Appendix). For example, we find  $c = 0.0425$  and  $d = 0.57$  for the model with  $M/R = 0.2$  in Fig. 7.

We have also investigated how  $\kappa_3$  depends on the total angular momentum of the star, and the results are shown in Fig. 8. The different curves represent sequences of stars that have different values of total angular momentum, and they naturally split according to their compactness. The upper curves have a fixed high compactness, while the lower curves have a fixed low compactness. Comparing the two sets of data we can see that  $\kappa_3$  depends much more strongly on the compactness than it does on the total angular momentum of the star.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

Here we have presented a detailed study on the influence of both fast rotation and differential rotation on the r-mode frequency of compact stars, analyzing the deviation from the well-known Newtonian value  $\kappa = 2/3$ .

We have studied for the first time the dependence of the rotational correction  $\kappa_2$  on the compactness  $M/R$  of




FIG. 5. The rotation profiles as a function of the radial coordinate scaled by the equatorial radius  $R_{\text{eq}}$  of 6 differentially rotating stars with  $\hat{A}^{-1} = 0.5$  that have the same total angular momentum as the corresponding uniformly rotating models that have the same compactness and  $f = 600H_z$ . We see that although the differential rotation parameter across the models is set constant, stars with higher compactness have slightly more physical differential rotation.  $M/R = 0.11, 0.13, \dots, 0.21$  as indicated in the figure.




FIG. 6.  $\kappa \times M/R$  for sequences of stars with different values of the differential rotation parameter  $\hat{A}^{-1}$ . The upper curve shows  $\kappa$  for the models presented in Fig. 5. The other curves are similar, but with  $\hat{A}^{-1} = 0.4, 0.3$  and  $0.0$  (from top to bottom). Note that  $\kappa$  increases (and  $\sigma$  decreases) for stars with stronger differential rotation.

the stars. We found that  $\kappa_2$  is very sensitive to the compactness of the star. It decreases with increasing compactness, like the slow rotation term  $\kappa_0$ , and the difference between values obtained for models with small and large compactness is  $\sim 70\%$ .

Comparing our fit for  $\kappa_0$  with the result from the full general relativistic analysis of [20] for low rotation rates, we were able to show a quantitative assessment of the accuracy of the Cowling approximation for r-modes. Our values, obtained within the Cowling approximation, are

<sup>1</sup> The small “bump” in  $\Omega$  close to the surface of the most compact star (the star with the strongest differential rotation) in Fig. 5 is a feature of the scaling function we use for adapting the surface of our stars to a round sphere [33].




FIG. 7.  $\kappa_3 \times \hat{A}^{-1}$  for sequences of stars with different values of  $M/R$ , which were obtained by interpolation from the data in Fig. 6. The solid lines represent fits of the form  $\kappa_3 = c\hat{A}^{-1} + d\hat{A}^{-3}$ . From top to bottom,  $M/R = 0.2, 0.18, \dots, 0.12$ . The differential rotation correction  $\kappa_3$  is more significant for more compact stars.




FIG. 8.  $\kappa_3 \times \hat{A}^{-1}$  for sequences of stars with different values of  $f$  ranging from 200 – 600 Hz and two different values of compactness:  $M/R = 0.20$  (upper curves) and  $M/R = 0.14$  (lower curves). In this range,  $\kappa_3$  is almost independent of the total angular momentum of the star, and depends much more strongly on the compactness. (The color code is {blue, yellow, green, red, violet} for  $f = 600, 500, 400, 300, 200$  Hz respectively.)

shifted to lower frequencies by 6% – 11%, in good agreement with the expectation of good accuracy for Cowling results for r-mode frequencies.

For pulsars in LMXB rotational effects on the r-mode frequency can be strong and larger than relativistic effects, in particular, for rapidly spinning stars with low compactness. For a possible guided band-limited gravitational wave search of a known LMXB this would imply that the band is broadened at the lower end.

Differential rotation may be present in LMXB pulsars and it contributes to further increase  $\kappa$ . The differential rotation correction  $\kappa_3$  is more sensitive to the compactness than to the rotation of the star and it increases with the compactness (contrary to  $\kappa_0$  and  $\kappa_2$ ) for sequences of stars with constant angular momentum, exceeding 20% for high compactness models. This suggests that even a small degree of differential rotation should not be neglected for stars with very high compactness.

We plan to continue this work to study the effects of fast rotation and differential rotation on the gravitational wave [37] and viscosity damping timescales [38] and the r-mode instability window.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Wolfgang Kastaun and Cole Miller for useful discussions and comments. This work was supported by the São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP grant 2015/20433-4) and by the Max Planck Society.

## Appendix: The differential rotation correction $\kappa_3$ as a function of the compactness and total angular momentum of the star

We present in Fig. 9 and Fig. 10 the values obtained for the coefficients  $c$  and  $d$  defined by Eq. (6) to parametrize the differential rotation correction  $\kappa_3$ , defined in Eq. (5).

As we can see from both figures, the coefficients depend more strongly (and approximately linearly) on the compactness, with some spread caused by the variation of the angular momentum (and numerical errors), which becomes more relevant for lower compactness  $M/R \lesssim 0.14$ , as was already expected from Fig. 8. We also expect that numerical errors will increase for more slowly rotating stars, which would need longer runs for a more accurate determination of their (lower) r-mode frequency.

For higher compactness models with  $M/R \gtrsim 0.14$  the spread caused by the variation in the total angular momentum we considered is  $(c_{\min} - c_{\max})/c_{\min} \approx 60\%$  and  $(d_{\min} - d_{\max})/d_{\min} \approx 20\%$ .

[1] S. Chandrasekhar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **24**, 611 (1970).  
[2] J. L. Friedman and B. F. Schutz, Astrophys. J. **222**, 281 (1978).  
[3] J. Papaloizou, J. E. Pringle, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **182**, 423 (1978).

[4] B. J. Owen, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 104002 (2010).  
[5] P. Arras *et al.*, Astrophys. J. **591**, 1129 (2003).  
[6] R. Bondarescu, S. A. Teukolsky and I. Wasserman, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 064019 (2007).  
[7] R. Bondarescu, S. A. Teukolsky and I. Wasserman, Phys.




FIG. 9.  $c \times M/R$  as defined by Eq. (6) for sequences of stars with different values of  $f$  ranging from 200 – 600 Hz. The color code is the same as in Fig. 8.




FIG. 10.  $d \times M/R$  as defined by Eq. (6) for sequences of stars with different values of  $f$  ranging from 200 – 600 Hz. The color code is the same as in Fig. 8.

- Rev. D **79**, 104003 (2009).  
 [8] B. Haskell, K. Glampedakis and N. Andersson, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **441**, 1662 (2014).  
 [9] T. Strohmayer and S. Mahmoodifar, Astrophys. J. Lett. **793**, L38 (2014).  
 [10] T. Strohmayer and S. Mahmoodifar, Astrophys. J. **784**,

- 72 (2014).  
 [11] R. N. Manchester, G. B. Hobbs, A. Teoh and M. Hobbs, Astron. J. **129**, 1993 (2005).  
 [12] L. Rezzolla, F. K. Lamb, D. Markovic and S. L. Shapiro, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 104013 (2001).  
 [13] L. Rezzolla, F. K. Lamb, D. Markovic and S. L. Shapiro, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 104014 (2001).  
 [14] W. Kastaun, R. Cioffi and B. Giacomazzo, Phys. Rev. D **94**, 044060 (2016).  
 [15] A. Stavridis, A. Passamonti and K. Kokkotas, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 064019 (2007).  
 [16] A. Passamonti, A. Stavridis and K. Kokkotas, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 024029 (2008).  
 [17] C. Chirenti, J. Skakala and S. Yoshida, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 044043 (2013).  
 [18] C. Krüger, E. Gaertig and K. Kokkotas, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 084019 (2010).  
 [19] K. H. Lockitch, J. L. Friedman and N. Andersson, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 124010 (2003).  
 [20] A. Idrisy, B. J. Owen and D. I. Jones, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 024001 (2015).  
 [21] V. Ferrari, L. Gualtieri and S. Marassi, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 104033 (2007).  
 [22] N. Stergioulas, Living Rev. Relativity **6**, 3 (2003).  
 [23] L. Lindblom, G. Mendell, B. J. Owen, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 064006 (1999).  
 [24] A. Passamonti, B. Haskell and N. Andersson, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **396**, 951 (2009).  
 [25] H. Komatsu, Y. Eriguchi and I. Hachisu, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **237**, 355 (1989).  
 [26] H. Komatsu, Y. Eriguchi and I. Hachisu, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **239**, 153 (1989).  
 [27] F. Galeazzi, S. Yoshida and Y. Eriguchi, Astron. & Astrophys. **541**, A156 (2012).  
 [28] P. Mach and E. Malec, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 124053 (2015).  
 [29] T. W. Baumgarte, S. L. Shapiro and M. Shibata, Astrophys. J. **528**, L29 (2000).  
 [30] <http://www.einsteintoolkit.org>  
 [31] Denis Pollney et al., Phys. Rev. D **83**, 044045 (2011).  
 [32] M. Jasiulek, Class. Quantum. Grav. **29**, 015008 (2012).  
 [33] <http://www.lorene.obspm.fr>  
 [34] J. Ruoff, A. Stavridis and K. Kokkotas, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **339**, 1170 (2003).  
 [35] W. Kastaun, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 124036 (2011).  
 [36] S. Yoshida and Y. Kojima, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **289**, 117 (1997).  
 [37] J. Ipser and L. Lindblom, Astrophys. J. **373**, 213 (1991).  
 [38] L. Lindblom, B. Owen and S. Morsink, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 4843 (1998).